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#### Abstract

An elementary procedure for obtaining the prolongations of arbitrary $G$ structures is described and applied to the affine, projective, Lorentz, conformal, and Weyl structures. Also, the condition that a projective and conformal structure be compatible and thereby define a unique Weyl structure is discussed from the $G$-structure viewpoint. Throughout, an invariant notation for jets is employed.


PACS numbers: $04.20 . \mathrm{Cv}, 02.40 .+\mathrm{m}$

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The geometric structures required for the formulation of the constructive axioms of general relativity theory proposed by Ehlers, et al. ${ }^{1}$ are the affine, projective, Lorentz, conformal, and Weyl structures. ${ }^{2,3}$ In this paper, these geometric ${ }^{4}$ structures are all discussed in a uniform manner as group or $G$ structures of first and higher order. This approach has a number of advantages. First, $G$ structures are naturally described by gauge fields in a way that is described below in Sec. 2. Second, the theory of prolongations of $G$ structures reveals how a $G$ structure of a given order determines an infinite sequence of $G$ structures of progressively higher order and leads to the notion of normal coordinates for each type of $G$ structure. Also, the interrelationships of the geometric structures are more apparent because the groups which characterize the geometric structures play a more prominent role in the $G$-structure approach, a fact which also emphasizes the role of symmetry which is of central importance for spacetime theories.

The standard approach to the theory of $G$ structures ${ }^{5-7}$ and their prolongations evolved from the analysis of automorphism groups of $G$ structures. Kobayashi ${ }^{8}$ has given a quite readable account of this approach. A very elegant and quite thorough presentation of the standard theory of $G$ structures has been given by P. Molino."

The theory of $G$ structures may also be subsumed under the broader theory of systems of partial differential equations and Lie pseudogroups developed in the work of Spencer, ${ }^{10}$ Guillemin and Sternberg. ${ }^{11}$ Important new contributions to this theory have been presented by J. F. Pommaret ${ }^{12}$ in a recent book. Some of the $G$ structures mentioned above are discussed therein from this more advanced and general but less intuitive perspective. ${ }^{13}$

The presentation given below focuses solely on the description of the above mentioned $G$ structures and the process of prolongation of these $G$ structures to higher order. Although the results obtained are the same as those obtained by the standard approach mentioned above, the conceptual basis for the prolongation procedure is quite different. Moreover, the prolongation procedure used below rests on entire-

[^0]ly elementary considerations which have a vividly intuitive interpretation, and it is simple to apply. The procedure may be described using either frames or coframes, but it is more simply stated using coframes.

Briefly, if $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a local diffeomorphism of an open neighborhood $U$ of a manifold $M$ into $\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}$, then an $n^{k}$ coframe at a point $p \in M$ is a $k$-jet $j_{p}^{k}[h-h(p)]$ for some $h$, where $h(p)$ is the constant function on $U$ with image $h(p)$. Roughly, the chart ( $U, h$ ) provides an image of the neighborhood $U$ of $M$ and the $n^{k}$ coframe at $p$ is the $k$ th order Taylor polynomial approximation to $[h-h(p)]$ at $p$. It is clear that the Taylor polynomial approximation of order $k$ at a point $p$ determines at an infinitesimally near point $q$ the Taylor polynomial approximation of order $k-1$ at $q$ to first order in the coordinate difference $x^{i}(q)-x^{i}(p)$; that is, an $n^{k}$ coframe at $p$ determines an $n^{k-1}$ coframe at $q$. Since a $G$ structure of order $k-1$ specifies at each $p \in M$ an equivalence class of $n^{k \cdots 1}$ coframes, it is clear that a $G$ structure of order $k$ must satisfy the constraint that it induce the same equivalence classes as the lower order $G$ structure in order to be a prolongation. In fact, this simple constraint generates not only the prolonged $G$ structure but also the prolonged gauge group which characterizes it. This prolongation procedure which rests on the notion of approximation to the manifold is discussed more fully in Sec. 2.

In Secs. 3-7, the affine, projective, Lorentz, conformal, and Weyl structures are treated. The sequence of presentation reflects the increase in algebraic complexity. The affine, projective, and conformal structures are prolonged to 3rd order, beyond which order no novel features appear for these $G$ structures. For the Lorentz and Weyl structures, one may stop at 2 nd order because these structures define a unique affine structure and further prolongations may be made by appeal to the results for the affine case.

In Sec. 8, the condition that a conformal and a projective structure be compatible and hence define a unique Weyl structure, a condition originally derived by Ehlers et al. ${ }^{\prime}$ is discussed from the $G$ structure viewpoint.

Although the prolongation procedure is applied to only five $G$ structures in this paper, it may be applied with equal facility to any $G$ structure such as symplectic, complex, Hermitian, and Kaehler structures. Also, one of the authors (Coleman) and R. Mann ${ }^{14}$ have applied the procedure to subspace $G$ structures of which the Galilean structure is a
special case.
Indeed, the procedure is applicable in more general circumstances; for example, Rogers ${ }^{15}$ has presented a view of supermanifolds in which $k$ jets of mappings are well defined and the $k$ jet of a composition is the composition of the $k$ jets. Since the constructions needed rest on these properties, the theory of super coframe bundles, super $G$ structures and their prolongations could be carried through in a manner directly analogous to that used for the theory of such structures on ordinary manifolds.

Throughout the paper, an invariant notation for jets is used which is explained in Appendix A. The germ of the idea behind the notation may be found in the work of Ehresmann. ${ }^{16}$ The notation used for the jet bundles that are employed is described in Appendix B and the notation used for the various groups that appear is described in Appendix C.

## 2. G STRUCTURES AND PROLONGATIONS

Let $\mathscr{P}(M)$ be a principal fiber bundle with total space $P(M)$, base space $M$, projection $\pi_{P}: P(M) \rightarrow M$ and structure group $G$; that is, $\mathscr{P}(M)$ is the structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}(M)=\left\langle P(M), \pi_{P}, M, G\right\rangle \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H$ be a closed subgroup of $G$. An $H$ structure on $M$ is a reduction to the subgroup $H$ of the structure group $G$ of $\mathscr{P}(\boldsymbol{M})$. Such structures are in bijective correspondence with cross sections of the associated fiber bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}(M) / H=\left\langle P(M) / H, \pi_{P / H}, M, G / H, \mathscr{P}(M)\right\rangle \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of orbits under the action of $H$ on elements of $P(M)$. In the original context, $\mathscr{P}(\boldsymbol{M})$ was the principal bundle of linear frames and the closed subgroup of the structure group $G_{n}^{1}(\equiv \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{n}))$ was denoted by $G$ rather than $H$.

An $H$ structure on $M$ given by a cross section of the bundle (2.2) specifies an equivalence class of $H$ related elements of $P\left(M_{p}\right)$ for every $p \in M$. Since equivalence classes are difficult to work with, the cross section $\sigma: M \rightarrow P(M) / H$ is represented by a family of local cross sections $\sigma_{U}: U \rightarrow P(U)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall p \in U, \quad \sigma_{U}(p) \in \sigma(p) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\sigma_{U_{1}}$ and $\sigma_{U_{2}}$ are any two such local cross sections such that $U_{1} \cap U_{2} \neq \phi$, then there must exist a cross section $\rho_{12}: U_{1} \cap U_{2} \rightarrow\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right) \times H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall p \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}, \quad \sigma_{U_{2}}(p)=\sigma_{U_{1}}(p)^{\circ} \rho_{12}(p) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation (2.4) is a local gauge transformation. Note that it is an active transformation and not a passive coordinate transformation. For a given computation, it is often convenient to work with a particular local cross section $\sigma_{U}$. Such a representative is usually selected by imposing a gauge-fixing condition which amounts to choosing a particular set of coordinates for the coset space $G / H$. Such conditions are coordinate dependent because the local trivializing maps depend on the chart ( $U, x$ ). Suitable examples of such conditions will appear below in the analysis of the various $G$ structures.

The relevant principal bundles for the analysis of spacetime $G$ structures and their prolongations are the bundles of frames or coframes of order $k$. While the prolongation procedure used in this paper can be stated either in terms of
frames or coframes, it is more natural to use coframes. The bundle of $n^{k}$ coframes is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{k} *(M)=\left\langle H^{k *}(M), \pi_{H^{k^{*}}}, M, G_{n}^{k}\right\rangle . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \in U \subset M$ and let $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a local diffeomorphism, and let $h(p)$, the image of $p$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, also denote the constant map $h(p): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\forall q \in U[h(p)(q)=h(p)]$. A pair $(U, h)$, called a chart, provides an image of a local neighborhood $U$ in the standard space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If only one such image were allowed, the local region would have all the rigidity of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; however, for a manifold with only a differentiable structure, all such images are allowed provided that they are smoothly related and compatible. An element of $H^{k *}\left(M_{p}\right)$, called an $n^{k}$ coframe at $p$, is a $k$ jet $j_{p}^{k}(h-h(p))$ which is the equivalence class of local diffeomorphisms which agree with $h-h(p)$ at $p$ and have the same Taylor expansion of order $k$ at $p$ with respect to some arbitrarily chosen chart $(U, x)$ for a neighborhood of $p$. Thus an $n^{k}$ coframe is essentially the $k$ th order polynomial approximation to a chart at a given point $p$.

A local $n^{k}$ coframe field is given by a local cross section $h^{k}: U \rightarrow H^{k *}(U)$ which is represented with respect to a given chart ( $U, x$ ) by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
h^{k}(p)=\partial_{0}^{k} I_{n}\left(h_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{k} x^{j}+(1 / 2!) h_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{i} d_{p}^{k} x^{j_{i}} d_{p}^{k} x^{j_{2}}+\ldots\right. \\
\left.\ldots+(1 / k!) h_{j_{1} j_{2} \ldots j_{h}}^{i} d_{p}^{k} x^{j_{i}} d_{p}^{k} x^{j_{2}} \ldots d_{p}^{k} x^{j_{k}}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{array}
$$

where the coefficients ( $h_{j}^{i}, h_{j_{1} j_{2}, \ldots, h_{j_{1} j_{2}, j_{k}}^{i} \text { ) are functions of }}$ $p$ and are symmetric with respect to their lower indices.

A field of $n^{i}$ coframes is a rather restrictive geometric structure because at each point $p$ only a single linear image of the neighborhood of $p$ is regarded as giving an appropriate representation. More flexible geometric structures may be defined by specifying at each $p$ a class of distinguished $n^{\prime}$ coframes rather than a unique one; for example, for any closed Lie subgroup $S G_{n}^{1}$ of $G_{n}^{1}$, a cross section of the associated fiber bundle $S G_{n}^{1} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{1 *}(M)$ defines a field of equivalence classes of $S G_{n}^{1}$ related $n^{1}$ coframes, an $S G_{n}^{1}$ structure. If $S G_{n}^{1}=G_{n}^{1}$, then all linear images of the neighborhood of any point $p$ are regarded as equivalent and the manifold $M$ acquires none of the structural features of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. At the other extreme, $S G_{n}^{1}$ consists of the identity element alone and the manifold $M$ acquires a great deal of the structure of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For other choices of $S G_{n}^{1}$, such as $O_{p, q}^{1}$ and $C_{p, q}^{1}$, the infinitesimal neighborhoods of points $p \in M$ acquire structural attributes from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ that have the microsymmetry ${ }^{17}$ of the corresponding group.

Structures of higher order are defined in a similar way. If $S G_{n}^{k}$ is a closed Lie subgroup of $G_{n}^{k}$, then an $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure on a manifold $M$ is given by a cross section of the associated fiber bundle $S G_{n}^{k} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{k} *(M)$. Such a cross section specifies at each $p \in M$ an equivalence class of $S G_{n}^{k}$ related $n^{k}$ coframes. As in the first-order case, structure is pulled back from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by virtue of the fact that not every $k$ th order approximate image of the infinitesimal neighborhood of a given point $p$ is considered faithful. However, for $k>1$, there is an additional self-consistency condition which the $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure must satisfy, a condition which underlies the prolongation procedure used throughout the paper.

The self-consistency condition follows from the elementary observation that the $k$ th order Taylor expansion of a function at some given point determines the $(k-1)$ th order Taylor expansion of that function at an infinitesimally near point accurately to first order in the infinitesimal vector which describes the separation of the two points. Let $w^{i}=x^{i}(q)-x^{i}(p)$, where $p$ and $q$ are infinitesimally near points in $M$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i}-x^{i}(p)=x^{i}-x^{i}(q)+w^{i} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An $n^{k}$ coframe at $p$ given by (2.6) determines an $n^{k-1}$ coframe at $q$ by means of the replacement

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\rho}^{k} x^{i} \rightarrow d_{q}^{k-1} x^{i}+w^{i} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the constant term is discarded because a coframe by definition has zero constant term. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0}^{k-1} I_{n}\left(\left(h_{j}^{i}+h_{j}^{i} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{1}}\right. \\
& +(1 / 2!)\left(h_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{i}+h_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{i} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{1}} d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{2}}+\cdots \\
& +(1 /(k-1)!)\left(h_{j_{1} j_{2} \ldots j_{k},}^{i}+h_{j_{1} j_{2} \ldots j_{k},{ }^{\prime}}^{i} w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j} d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{2}} \ldots d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{k}} \quad{ }^{1} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

An $n^{k}$-coframe field determines an $n^{k-1}$-coframe field simply by the discarding of the $k$ th order term. The $n^{k}$ coframe at $q$ determines an $n^{k-1}$ coframe at $q$; namely,
$\partial_{0}^{k-1} I_{n}\left(h_{j}^{i}+h_{j, ~}^{i} w^{\prime} d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j}\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+(1 / 2!) h_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{i}+h_{j_{1} j_{2},}^{i} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j} d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{2}} \\
& +\ldots \\
& +\left(1 /(k-l)!\left(h_{j_{2}, \ldots j_{k}}^{i},+h_{\left.j, j_{2} \ldots j_{k},, w^{\prime}\right)}^{w^{\prime}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\times d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{j}} d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{2}} \ldots d_{q}^{k-1} x^{j_{k}}\right), \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients describing the $n^{k-1}$ coframe at $q$ have been reexpressed in terms of the field coefficients and their derivatives at $p$ means of a 1st order Taylor expansion. If the $n^{k}$ coframe field is coherent, then each of the $n^{k}$ coframes should be the $k$ th order Taylor approximation at the appropriate point in $M$ of one and the same chart. Thus self-consistency requires that the $n^{k-1}$ coframes (2.9) and (2.10) be identical. However, there are two additional complications to consider.

First, one is usually not concerned with a simple $n^{k}$ coframe field, but rather with an $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure which specifies at each $p \in M$ not a unique $n^{k}$ coframe but an equivalence class of $S G_{n}^{k}$ related coframes. Thus the self-consistency condition is weakened to the requirement that the $n^{k-1}$. coframes (2.9) and (2.10) are the same up to an $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ transformation where $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ is the group obtained by discarding the $k$ th order terms of the elements of $S G_{n}^{k}$. This complication may be efficiently handled by transforming (2.9) and (2.10) to standard representatives before making the identification.

Second, it is clear that the coefficients of $w^{\prime}$ in (2.9) are necessarily symmetric with respect to all of their lower indices since they arise as the coordinates of a $k$ jet. However, the corresponding coefficients of $w^{\prime}$ in (2.10) need not be totally symmetric in their lower indices since they arise by differentiation of the coefficient functions describing a $(k-1)$-jet field. In general, (2.9) and (2.10) differ by a term of the form $B_{j, j_{2} \ldots j, f}^{i} w^{\prime}$ for each $r \in\{1,2 \ldots, k-1\}$, where $B_{j, j \ldots j,}^{i}$ has the symmetry with respect to the lower indices obtained by first
antisymmetrizing with respect to $j_{r}$ and $\ell$ and then symmetrizing with respect to $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots j_{r}$.

The prolongation procedure may now be described as follows: assume that a self-consistent $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ structure is given; for any given point $p \in M$, assume for an arbitrary $n^{k-1}$-coframe at $p$ an extension to an $n^{k}$ coframe; compute the $n^{k-1}$-coframes at $q$ corresponding to (2.9) and (2.10) and reduce these to standard form using $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ transformations; equate the results allowing for the terms of the form $B_{j_{1} j_{2} \cdots j_{r}}^{i}, w^{\prime}$. This procedure results in a system of linear equations for the $k$ th order coefficient of the $n^{k}$ coframe. These equations determine both the typical element of the prolonged group $S G_{n}^{k}$ and the standard representative of the equivalence class of $n^{k}$ coframes belonging to the $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure at $p$ with respect to the chosen coordinate system. It may happen that the system of equations is underdetermined in which case the group $S G_{n}^{k}$ has new parameters in addition to those of $S G_{n}^{k-1}$. Such new parameters arise in the prolongation of the conformal or $C_{p . q}^{1}$ structure to a $C_{p . q}^{2}$ structure.

For every case, the process must begin at some lowest order. Not every case begins in the first order. The affine and projective structures begin at second order, and although the conformal and Weyl structures are indistinguishable in first order, they are distinguished at second order by the imposition of additional structure in the Weyl case. In all of the cases discussed below, no new group parameters arise beyond second order; however, in the case of subspace structures which will be discussed elsewhere, ${ }^{14}$ new group parameters arise at each successive order.

We conclude this section with a formal characterization of the notion of prolongation. For any bundle $\mathscr{A}(M)$, denote by $J^{r} \mathscr{C}(M)$ the bundle of $r$ jets of local cross sections of $\mathscr{C}(M)$. An $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure is determined by a cross section $\sigma^{k}: M \rightarrow S G_{n}^{k} \backslash H^{k}(M)$, which in turn may be described by a family of local cross sections $h^{k}: U \rightarrow H^{k *}(U)$ which satisfy $h^{k}(p) \in \sigma^{k}(p)$ for $p \in U$. Such a local cross section is given explicitly by (2.6). The natural projection maps $G_{n}^{k} \rightarrow G_{n}^{k-1}$ and $H^{k *}(M) \rightarrow H^{k-1 *}(M)$ defined by the discarding of the $k$ th order term induce projection maps $S G_{n}^{k} \rightarrow S G_{n}^{k-1}$ and $S G_{n}^{k} \backslash H^{k}(M) \rightarrow S G_{n}^{k-1} \backslash H^{k-1 *}(M)$. Thus the compositions of the maps $\sigma^{k}$ and $h^{k}$ with the appropriate projection maps yield maps
$\sigma^{k-1}: M \rightarrow S G_{n}^{k-i} \backslash H^{k-1 *}(M)$ and $h^{k-1}: U \rightarrow H^{k \cdots 1 *}(U)$. In this way, every $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure uniquely determines an $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ structure by truncation.

In an infinitesimal neighborhood of $p \in U \subset M, h^{k-1}$ is determined to first order by $j_{p}^{1} h^{k-1} \in J^{1} H^{k-1 *}\left(M_{p}\right)$. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{p}^{1} h_{k-1}= & \partial_{0}^{k-{ }^{1} I_{n}\left(\left(h_{j}^{i}+h_{j, r}^{i} d_{p}^{1} x^{\prime}\right) j_{p}^{1} d^{k-1} x^{j}+\ldots\right.} \\
& +\frac{1}{(k-1)!}\left(h_{j_{1} \ldots j_{k},}, h_{j, \ldots j_{k-1,}}^{i} d_{p}^{1} x^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times j_{p}^{1} d^{k-1} x^{\left.j_{1} \ldots j_{p}^{1} d^{k-1} x^{j_{k}}\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression (2.10) is obtained by composing the 1 -jet aspect of (2.11) with the 1 -jet $\partial_{p}^{1} x\left(w^{i} d_{0}^{1} I\right)$.

Define a mapping $e: H^{k *}(M) \rightarrow J^{1} H^{k-1}(M)$ by means of the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{p}^{k} x \rightarrow j_{p}^{1} d^{k-1} x+d_{p}^{1} x \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the understanding that the constant term of the $(\mathrm{k}-1)$ jet is discarded. One obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& e\left[h^{k}(p)\right]=\partial_{0}^{k-1} I_{n}\left(h_{j}^{i}+h_{j /}^{i} d_{p}^{1} x^{\prime}\right) j_{p}^{1} d^{k-1} x^{j}+\ldots \\
& +(1 /(k-1)!)\left(h_{j_{j}, j_{k}}^{i}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+h_{j \ldots j_{k}}^{i}, d_{p}^{1} x^{\prime}\right) j_{\rho}^{1} d^{k-1} x^{j} \ldots j_{p}^{1} d^{k-1} x^{j_{k-1}}\right) \text {. } \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression (2.9) is related to (2.13) in the same way that (2.10) is related to (2.11).

Both $j_{p}^{1} h^{k-1}$ and $e\left(h^{k}(p)\right)$ may be regarded as generalized elements of $H^{k-1 *}(M)$ with 1-jet coefficients. The inverse $e\left(h^{k}(p)\right)^{-1}$ is a generalized element of $H^{k-1}(\boldsymbol{M})$. The compostion $j_{p}^{1} h^{k-1} \circ_{\mathcal{e}}\left(h^{k}(p)\right)^{-1}$ [regarded as generalized $(k-1)$ jets] has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathrm{k}-1} I_{n}\left[\left(\delta_{j}^{i}+E_{j}^{i} d_{\rho}^{1} x^{\prime}\right) d_{0}^{k-1} I_{n}^{j}+\ldots\right. \\
&  \tag{2.14}\\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{(k-1)!} E_{j_{1} \ldots j_{k},}^{i} d_{\rho}^{1} x^{\prime} d_{0}^{k-1} I_{n}^{j_{1}} \ldots d_{\mathbf{0}}^{k-1} I_{n}^{j_{k}} \quad{ }^{\prime}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

This is an infinitesimal element of $G_{n}^{k-1}$, where the sense of infinitesimal is made precise by the use of the 1 jet $d_{p}^{l} x$.

The concept of a self-consistent $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure may now be formulated as follows.

Definition: An $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure is self-consistent iff $j_{p}^{1} h^{k-1_{0}} e\left(h^{k}(p)\right)^{-1}$ is the composition of an infinitesimal element of $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ and an infinitesimal element of $G_{n}^{k-1}$ of the form (2.14) with $E$ replaced by $\widehat{B}$ such that the
$\hat{B}_{j_{1} \ldots, q}^{i} h^{-1 q}$ have the same type of symmetry with respect to the lower indices as $B_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r},}^{i}$ discussed above.

Finally, the definition of "prolongation" may be stated as follows.

Definition: An $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure is the prolongation of an $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ structure iff the $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ structure is determined by the $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure by truncation and the $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure is self-consistent.

Note that the self-consistency of the $S G_{n}^{k}$ structure entails the self-consistency of the induced $S G_{n}^{k-1}$ structure.

## 3. THE AFFINE STRUCTURE

It is customary to describe the affine structure of spacetime as a connection on the principal bundle of linear frames or $n^{\prime}$ frames $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}(M)$. In this section, however, the affine structure will be presented as a $G$ structure defined by a reduction to the affine subgroup $\Gamma_{n}^{2}$ of the structure group $G_{n}^{2}$ of the principal bundle of $n^{2}$ coframes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{2 *}(M)=\left\langle H^{2 *}(M), \pi_{H^{2 \cdot}}, M, G_{n}^{2}\right\rangle \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This approach has the advantages that other related structures can be described in a similar way, that the structure is described explicitly by local $\Gamma_{n}^{2}$ gauge fields, and that the concept of approximating the manifold by second-order polynomials is emphasized. Moreover, the relationship of the affine structure to affine curves and parallel transport and to the higher-order prolongations of these structures is quite direct and intuitive from the viewpoint of higher-order approximation of the manifold.

The group $\Gamma_{n}^{2}$ is the subgroup of $G_{n}^{2}$ of elements of the
form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restriction of the left, free action of $G_{n}^{2}$ on $H^{2 *}(M)$ to the subgroup $\Gamma_{n}^{2}$ may be used to construct the associated bundle of equivalence classes of $\Gamma_{n}^{2}$ related $n^{2}$ coframes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{n}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{2 *}(M) \\
& \quad=\left\langle\Gamma_{n}^{2} \backslash H^{2 *}(M), \pi_{\Gamma_{n}^{2} \backslash H^{2 *}}, M, \Gamma_{n}^{2} \backslash G_{n}^{2}, \mathscr{H}^{2 *}(M)\right\rangle, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the typical fiber $\Gamma_{n}^{2} \backslash G_{n}^{2}$ is the set of left cosets. The affine structure $\Gamma$ is a cross section $\Gamma: M \rightarrow \Gamma_{n}^{2} \backslash H^{2 *}(M)$. Since for each $p \in M, \Gamma(p)$ is an equivalence class of $n^{2}$ coframes, the affine structure may be represented locally by cross sections $h: U \rightarrow H^{2 *}(U)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(p)=\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(h_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+(1 / 2!) h_{j k}^{i} d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are determined up to a $\Gamma_{n}^{2}$ left-acting gauge transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& L: U \rightarrow U \times \Gamma_{n}^{2}, \\
& L(p)=\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus under a gauge transformation,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}(p)=L(p) & \circ h(p) \\
& \times \partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{f}^{i} h_{j}^{\prime} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} a^{i} h_{j k}^{\prime} d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Whenever desired, the gauge may be chosen by the coordinate dependent gauge fixing condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{i} h_{j}^{\prime}=\delta_{j}^{i} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the only nontrivial coefficient in (3.6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j k}^{i}=h_{r}^{-1 i} h_{j k}^{r} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bundle of second order curve elements is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{1}^{2}(M)=\left\langle L_{1}^{2}(M), \pi_{L_{1}^{2}}, M, L_{1, n}^{2}, \mathscr{H}^{2}(M)\right\rangle \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ and $\gamma(0)=p$, then an element $j_{0}^{2} \gamma \in L_{1}^{2}\left(M_{p}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0}^{2} \gamma=\partial_{p}^{2} x\left(\gamma_{1}^{i} d_{0}^{2} I+(1 / 2!) \gamma_{2}^{i}\left(d_{0}^{2} I\right)^{2}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $n^{2}$ coframe (3.4) may be used to give an image of this curve element in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
h(p) \circ j_{0}^{2} \gamma=\partial_{0}^{2} & I_{n}\left[h_{j}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{j} d_{0}^{2} I\right. \\
& \left.+(1 / 2!)\left(h_{r}^{i} \gamma_{2}^{r}+h_{r s}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{r} \gamma_{1}^{s}\right)\left(d_{0}^{2} I\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the image line element is linear to 2 nd order iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}^{i}+\Gamma_{j k}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{k}=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (3.8) has been used.
The idea that $n^{k}$ coframes are $k$ th order Taylor approximations to local diffeomorphisms can be used to explicate the relationship of the affine structure to the affine connection and the prolongation of these structures to higher order. Let $f: U \rightarrow V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a local diffeomorphism such that $f(p)=0$. Let $q$ be infinitesimally near $p$ and $\operatorname{set} \hat{f}=f-f(q)$. Then $j_{q}^{k-t} \hat{f}$ is determined by $j_{p}^{k} f$ to first order in the coordinate difference $w=x(q)-x(p)$, where $(U, x)$ is a chart. Set $F=f \circ x^{-1}$ and $\hat{F}=\hat{f} \circ x^{-1}$. Then to third order
$F^{i}(x)=F_{j}^{i} x_{p}^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} F_{j k}^{i} x_{p}^{j} x_{p}^{k}+\frac{1}{3!} F_{j k}^{i} x_{p}^{j} x_{p}^{k} x_{\rho}^{\prime}$,
where $x_{p}^{i}=x^{i}-x^{i}(p)$. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{p}^{i}=x_{q}^{i}+w^{i} \text { and } f^{i}(q)=F_{j}^{i} w^{j}, \\
& \hat{F}^{i}(x)=F^{i}(x)-F_{j}^{i} w^{j} \\
&=F_{j}^{i} x_{q}^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} F_{j k}^{i} x_{q}^{j} x_{q}^{k}+\frac{1}{3!} F_{j k s}^{i} x_{q}^{j} x_{q}^{k} x_{q}^{i}+F_{j s}^{i} x_{q}^{j} w^{s} \\
&+\frac{1}{2!} F_{j k s}^{i} x_{q}^{j} x_{q}^{k} w^{s}, \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where only terms up to first order in $w^{i}$ have been kept. An affine structure defines an equivalence class of second-order coframes; namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} a_{f}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{\zeta} d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left(a_{j}^{i}\right) \in G_{n}^{1}$. Each such second-order coframe at $p$ determines a first-order coframe at $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{1} x^{j}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by projection and a first-order coframe at an infinitesimally near point $q$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mathbf{0}}^{1} I_{n}\left(\left(a_{j}^{i}+a_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j s} w^{s}\right) d_{q}^{1} x^{j}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the substitution (3.14). The parallel transport of coframes is defined by mapping (3.16) into (3.17).

Now consider the problem of prolonging the affine structure to the next order. An extension of an arbitrary $n^{2}$ coframe (3.15) to a $n^{3}$ coframe has the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{0}^{3} I_{n}\left[a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{3} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{s} d_{p}^{3} x^{j} d_{p}^{3} x^{k}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{3!} h_{j k}^{i} d_{p}^{3} x^{j} d{ }_{p}^{3} x^{k} d_{p}^{3} x^{\prime}\right] \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

which by the substitution (3.14) defines a $n^{2}$-coframe equivalence class at $q$ near $p$ which contains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0}^{2} \mathrm{I}_{n}\left(\left(a_{j}^{i}+a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j r}^{s} w^{r}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{s}+h_{j k s}^{i} w^{s}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} d_{q}^{2} x^{k}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

However, the affine structure also defines another equivalence class of $n^{2}$ coframes at $q$, namely, the equivalence class containing
$\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{q}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2} a_{s}^{i}\left(\Gamma_{j k}^{s}+\Gamma_{j k, r}^{s} w^{r}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} d_{q}^{2} x^{k}\right)$.

It is natural to require that the two equivalence classes defined by (3.19) and (3.20) be the same and to impose this condition by reducing each of the $n^{2}$ coframes to standard form and equating the results; however, it is necessary to allow for a term of the form $B_{j k r}^{i} w^{r}$, where $B_{j k r}^{i}$ has the symmetry in the lower indices obtained by first antisymmetrizing in the indices $k$ and $r$ and then symmetrizing in the indices $j$ and $k$. Such a term arises because the coefficients describing the 1 jet of the local 2 -jet field need not be symmetric in the lower indices. Similar terms arise in higher orders. One obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{j k}^{i} & +\Gamma_{j k, r}^{i} w^{r}+B_{j k r}^{i} w^{r} \\
& =\Gamma_{j k}^{i}+a^{-1 i} h_{j k r}^{s} w^{r}-\Gamma_{s r}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{s} w^{r} . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}=a^{-1 i} h_{j k}^{s}$. Then, the relation (3.21) gives, after
appropriate symmetrization, the result

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{j k t}^{i}= & \frac{1}{3}\left(\Gamma_{j k, r}^{i}+\Gamma_{k f, j}^{i}+\Gamma_{k j, k}^{i}\right. \\
& \left.+\Gamma_{s j}^{i} \Gamma_{k \prime}^{s}+\Gamma_{s k}^{i} \Gamma_{g j}^{s}+\Gamma_{s,}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{s}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

which upon substitution into (3.18) gives the affine frame of third order corresponding to the affine frame of second order (3.15). Clearly, this procedure could be iterated order-byorder to define a reduction of the structure group $G_{n}^{k}$ of $\mathscr{H}^{k *}(M)$ to the affine subgroup $\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{k}}$. Moreover, such a reduction defines a connection on the principal bundle $\mathscr{H}^{k-1}(\boldsymbol{M})$; for example, suppose affine $n^{3}$ coframes have been defined by (3.18) with $h_{j k r}^{i}=a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j k i}^{s}$, where $\Gamma_{j k i}^{i}$ is given by (3.22). Then by projection one obtains the frames (3.15) and by substitution the frames (3.19). Taking the composition of (3.19) with the inverse of (3.15) [applied on the left of (3.19)], one obtains an invertible map from $H^{2 *}\left(M_{p}\right)$ to $H^{2 *}\left(M_{q}\right)$; namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\rho}^{2} x & {\left[\left(\delta_{j}^{i}+\Gamma_{j r}^{i} w^{r}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(\Gamma_{j k r}^{i} w^{r}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.-\Gamma_{s j}^{i} \Gamma_{k r}^{s} w^{r}-\Gamma_{s k}^{i} \Gamma_{j r}^{s} w^{r}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} d_{q}^{2} x^{k}\right] \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

To parallel transport the second-order curve element $j_{0}^{2} \gamma$ given by (3.10) from $p$ to $q$, the inverse of (3.23) is applied on the left of $j_{0}^{2} \gamma$. Also, note that the image of the third order curve element

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0}^{3} \gamma=\partial_{p}^{3} x\left[\gamma_{1}^{j} d_{0}^{3} I+\frac{1}{2!} \gamma_{2}^{i}\left(d_{0}^{3} I\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} \gamma_{3}^{i}\left(d_{0}^{3} I\right)^{3}\right](3 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

under an affine $n^{3}$ coframe is

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{0}^{3} I_{n} & {\left[a_{s}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{s} d_{0}^{3} I+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{s}^{i} \gamma_{2}^{s}+a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{s} \gamma_{1}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{k}\right)\left(d_{0}^{3} I\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3!}\left(a_{s}^{i} \gamma_{3}^{s}+3 a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{s} \gamma_{2}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{k}+a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{s} \gamma_{1}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{k} \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(d_{0}^{3} I\right)^{3}\right] \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

This image curve is linear to third order provided that (3.12) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}^{i}+3 \Gamma_{j k}^{i} \gamma_{2}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{k}+\Gamma_{j k}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{k} \gamma_{1}^{\prime}=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. The result agrees with the usual result obtained by differentiating the equation for affine curves. In addition, the prolongation to order $k$ gives the $k$ jet of the coordinate transformation that relates the given coordinate system to the normal coordinate system for a given point $p$. In the normal coordinate system all of the functions $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}, \Gamma_{j k}^{i}, \ldots$ vanish at $p$.

## 4. THE PROJECTIVE STRUCTURE

The projective structure of space-time is a second-order $G$ structure defined by a reduction of the group
$G_{n}^{2}$ of $\mathscr{H}^{2 *}(M)$ to the subgroup $P_{n}^{2}$ consisting of elements of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{2} I^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{j}^{i} a_{k}+a_{k}^{i} a_{j}\right) d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{k}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the affine case, the restriction of the action of $G_{n}^{2}$ on $H^{2 *}(M)$ to the subgroup $P_{n}^{2}$ may be used to construct the associated bundle of equivalence classes of $P_{n}^{2}$ related $n^{2}$ coframes

$$
P_{n}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{2 *}(M)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left\langle P_{n}^{2} \backslash H^{2 *}(M), \pi_{P_{n}^{2} \backslash H^{2 *}}, M, P_{n}^{2} \backslash G_{n}^{2}, \mathscr{H}^{2 *}(M)\right\rangle \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A projective structure on space time is a cross section $\Pi: M \rightarrow P_{n}^{2} \backslash H^{2 *}(M)$. Such a cross section may be represented locally by a family of cross sections $h: U \rightarrow H^{2 *}(U)$ [see (3.4)], which are determined up to a $P_{n}^{2}$ gauge transformation $L: U \rightarrow U \times P_{n}^{2}$, where $L(p)$ is given by (4.1) and where the $a_{j}^{i}$ and $a_{k}$ depend smoothly on $p \in U$. The cross sections $h$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall p \in U, h(p) \in I I(p) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any two such are related by a gauge transformation of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}(p)= & L(p) \cdot h(p) \\
= & \partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left[\left(a_{,}^{i} h_{j}^{\prime} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{i}^{i} h_{j k}^{\prime}+a_{i}^{i} h_{j}^{\prime} a_{m} h_{k}^{m}+a_{i}^{i} h_{k}^{\prime} a_{m} h_{j}^{m}\right) d_{p}^{2} x^{j} \\
& \left.\times d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right] . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The gauge fixing condition (3.7) may also be used in this case. Then the coefficient for the second-degree term in (4.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{-i i} h_{j k}^{\prime}+\delta_{j}^{i} a_{m} h_{k}^{m}+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{m} h_{j}^{m} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{m}$ is still undetermined, the additional gauge-fixing condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{-1 i}, h_{i k}^{\prime}+(n+1) a_{m} h_{k}^{m}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be imposed. The coefficient of the second-degree term is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{j k}^{i}=h^{-i i} h_{j k}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} h^{-i m} h_{m k}^{\prime}+\delta_{k}^{i} h^{-i m} h_{m j}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\Pi_{j k}^{i}$ which satisfy $\Pi_{i j}^{i}=0$ are called the projective coef. ficients and relative to a given coordinate system uniquely determine and are uniquely determined by the projective structure. Clearly, the process of choosing a particular gauge is just the selection of standard representatives for the cosets $P_{n}^{2} \backslash G_{n}^{2}$. For a $n^{2}$ coframe $h(p) \in I I(p)$,
$h(p)=\partial_{\mathbf{0}}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{i}^{i} \Pi_{j k}^{\prime}+a_{j}^{i} a_{k}+a_{k}^{i} a_{j}\right) d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right)$,
where $a_{j}^{i}=h_{j}^{i}$ and $a_{k}=\frac{1}{n+1} h^{-1 m} h_{m k}^{\prime}$.
The $n^{2}$ coframe (4.8) may be composed with the secondorder curve element (3.10) to give an image of the curve element in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
h(p) \circ j_{0}^{2} \gamma= & \partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{j} d_{0}^{2} I\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{r}^{i} \gamma_{2}^{r}+a_{r}^{i} \Pi_{j k}^{r} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{1}^{k}+2 a_{j}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{j} a_{k} \gamma_{1}^{k}\right)\left(d_{0}^{2} I\right)^{2}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The condition that this image curve element is linear to second order is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}^{i}+\Pi_{j k}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{k}+2 a_{k} \gamma_{1}^{k} \gamma_{1}^{j}=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{k}$ is arbitrary at every $p$, the factor $a_{k} \gamma_{1}^{k}$ may be chosen freely in a smooth way along any integral curve. It is
readily shown that this freedom allows an arbitrary choice of parameter for the integral curves; consequently, (4.10) describes a path element rather than a curve element.

The projective structure determines only a family of connections on the manifold. By projection and substitution, the coframe (4.8) determines the two coframes

$$
\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{1} x^{j}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(\left(a_{j}^{i}+a_{r}^{i} \Pi_{j s}^{r} w^{s}+a_{s}^{i} w^{s} a_{j}+a_{j}^{i} a_{s} w^{s}\right) d_{q}^{1} x^{j}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map from $H^{1 *}\left(M_{p}\right)$ to $H^{1 *}\left(M_{q}\right)$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\rho}^{1} x\left(\left(\delta_{j}^{i}+\Pi_{j s}^{i} w^{s}+w^{i} a_{j}+\delta_{j}^{i} a_{s} w^{s}\right) d_{q}^{1} x^{j}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which depends on the parameters $a_{i}$. Consider a $1^{\prime}$ frame $j_{0}^{1} \gamma \in H_{1}^{1}\left(M_{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0}^{1} \gamma=\partial_{p}^{1} x\left(\gamma_{1}^{1} d_{0}^{1} I\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under parallel transport by (4.12) for any $a_{i}$, the $1^{\prime}$ frame becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{q}^{1} x\left(\left(\gamma_{1}^{i}-\Pi_{j k}^{i} w^{k} \gamma_{1}^{j}-w^{i} a_{j} \gamma_{1}^{j}-a_{j} w^{j} \gamma_{1}^{i}\right) d_{0}^{1} I\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For autoparallel transport, $w^{i}=\lambda \gamma_{1}^{i}$ and (4.14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{q}^{1} x\left(\left(\gamma_{1}^{i}-\lambda I_{j k}^{i} \gamma_{1}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{k}-2 \lambda a_{j} \gamma_{1}^{j} \gamma_{1}^{i}\right) d_{0}^{1} I\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the autoparallel transport of projective $1^{1}$ frames (directions) is uniquely defined by the projective structure because the term (4.15) which depends on $a_{i}$ is proportional to $\gamma_{1}^{i}$ and consequently may be removed by a parameter, $G_{1}^{3}$, transformation.

The above discussion may be readily extended to $2^{1}$ frames and projective $2^{1}$ frames (a set of all $2^{1}$ frames for a given two-dimensional subspace of the tangent space). Let $j_{0}^{1} f \in H_{2}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{p}\right)$, where $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow M$ such that $f(\mathbf{0})=p$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{a}^{1} f=\partial_{p}^{1} x\left(f_{\alpha}^{i} d_{0}^{1} I_{2}^{\alpha}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A projective $2^{\prime}$ frame is an equivalence class of $2^{1}$-frames under the action of the group $G_{2}^{2}$ of parameter transformations. Now, choose any vector which belongs to the subspace of $L_{1}^{1}\left(M_{p}\right)$ corresponding to a given projective $2^{\prime}$ frame, say $w^{i}=\lambda_{1} f_{1}^{i}+\lambda_{2} f_{2}^{i}$. Next, parallel transport (4.16) along $w^{i}$ using (4.12). The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{q}^{1} x[ & \left\{f_{a}^{i}-\Pi_{j k}^{i} f_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}\left(\lambda_{1} f_{1}^{k}+\lambda_{2} f_{2}^{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(\lambda_{1} f_{1}^{i}+\lambda_{2} f_{2}^{i}\right) a_{j} f_{\alpha}^{j}+a_{j}\left(\lambda_{1} f_{1}^{j}+\lambda_{2} f_{2}^{j}\right) f^{i}\right\} \mathrm{~d}_{0}^{1} I_{2}^{\alpha}\right] \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, the terms which depend on the $a_{i}$ may be removed by a $G_{2}^{2}$ parameter transformation; consequently, a projective structure determines the parallel transport of projective $2^{1}$ frames. Also, the autoparallel transport of the initial direction lies in the subspace determined by the new projective $2^{1}$ frame; therefore, the procedure may be iterated to define a parallel field of tangential projective $2^{1}$ frames along a geodesic. This procedure corresponds to the strip-forming construction discussed by Ehlers and Schild. ${ }^{18}$ It would probably be useful to reformulate the rest of their results in the language of jets.

The problem of determining the prolongations of the projective structure can be solved by the same procedure used in the affine case; however, the algebra is slightly more complicated. Consider an extension of the projective $n^{2}$ co-
frame (4.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0}^{3} I_{n}\left[a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{3} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{s}^{i} \Pi \Pi_{j k}^{s}+a_{j}^{i} a_{k}+a_{k}^{i} a_{j}\right) d_{p}^{3} x^{j} d{ }_{p}^{3} x^{k}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{3!} a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{s} d_{p}^{3} x^{j} d_{p}^{3} x^{k} d{ }_{p}^{3} x^{\prime}\right] . \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Such a frame defines an equivalence class of $n^{2}$ coframes at $q$ near $p$ containing
$\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left[\left(a_{j}^{i}+a_{s}^{i} I_{j r}^{s} w^{r}+a_{r}^{i} a_{j} w^{r}+a_{j}^{i} a_{r} w^{r}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j}\right.$
$\left.+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{s}^{i} \Pi_{j k}^{s}+a_{j}^{i} a_{k}+a_{k}^{i} a_{j}+a_{s}^{i} \Gamma_{j k r}^{s} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} d_{q}^{2} x^{k}\right]$.
This $n^{2}$ coframe should define the same $P_{n}^{2}$ equivalence class at $q$ as the $n^{2}$ coframe

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n} & {\left[a_{j}^{i} d_{q}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{s}^{i} \Pi_{j k}^{s}+a_{s}^{i} I_{j k, r}^{s} w^{r}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.+a_{j}^{i} a_{k}+a_{k}^{i} a_{j}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} d_{4}^{2} x^{k}\right] . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The standard representative corresponding to the $n^{2}$ coframes given by (4.20) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(d_{q}^{2} x^{i}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(I_{j k}^{i}+I I_{j k, r}^{i} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} d_{q}^{2} x^{k}\right) . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reduction of (4.19) to standard form is somewhat more complicated. If the first-order coefficient is reduced to $\delta_{j}^{i}$, the second-order coefficient becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{j k}^{i}+\Gamma_{j k r}^{i} w^{\prime}-\Pi_{r,}^{i} I_{j k}^{r} w^{\prime}-w^{i} a_{r} I_{j k}^{r} \\
& \quad-\mathrm{a}, w^{\prime} \Pi_{j k}^{i}-a_{k} \Pi_{j<}^{i} w^{\prime}-a_{j} \Pi_{k r}^{i} w^{\prime}-2 w^{i} a_{j} a_{k} . \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The next step is to remove the trace from the expression (4.22). The resulting expression is equated with the coefficient of the second-order part of (4.21) allowing for a term $B_{j k}^{i}$ with the same symmetries as in the affine case and also traceless, $B_{r k \prime}^{r}=0$. The compatibility condition so obtained is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{j k \prime}^{i}= & \frac{1}{n+1}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Gamma_{r k \prime}^{r}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Gamma_{r j}^{r}\right) \\
= & B_{j k r}^{i}+\Pi_{j k, r}^{i}+\Pi_{r,}^{i} I_{j k}^{r} \\
& -\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Pi_{s k}^{r} I_{r r}^{s}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Pi_{s j}^{r} I_{r \prime}^{s}\right) \\
& +\delta_{r}^{i} a_{r} I_{j k}^{r}-\frac{2}{n+1}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} a_{r} \Pi_{k r}^{r}+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{r} \Pi_{j k}^{r}\right) \\
& +a_{j} \Pi_{k r}^{i}+a_{k} \Pi_{{ }_{j}}^{i}+a_{r} I_{j k}^{i} \\
& +2 \delta_{i}^{i} a_{j} a_{k}-\frac{2}{n+1}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} a_{i} a_{k}+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{i} a_{j}\right) . \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Take the totally symmetric part of this equation. The term $B_{j k}{ }^{i}$ drops out and one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{j k r}^{i}- & \frac{2}{3(n+1)}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Gamma_{r k r}^{r}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Gamma_{r j}^{r}+\delta_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{r j k)}^{r}\right. \\
= & \frac{1}{3}\left(\Pi_{j k, r}^{i}+\Pi_{k r, j}^{i}+\Pi_{j, k}^{i}+\Pi_{r j}^{i} \Pi_{k r}^{r}\right. \\
& \left.+\Pi_{r k}^{i} \Pi I_{r}^{r}+\Pi_{r r}^{i} \Pi_{j k}^{r}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{3(n+1)}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Pi_{s k}^{r} \Pi_{r r}^{s}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Pi_{s,}^{r} \Pi_{r j}^{s}+\delta_{,}^{i} \Pi_{s j}^{r} \Pi_{r k}^{s}\right) \\
& +\frac{n-3}{2(n+1)}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} a_{r} \Pi_{k r}^{r}+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{r} \Pi_{r j}^{r}+\delta_{r}^{i} a_{r} \Pi_{j k}^{r}\right) \\
& +a_{j} \Pi_{k r}^{i}+a_{k} \Pi_{r j}^{i}+a_{r} \Pi_{j k}^{i} \\
& +\frac{2(n-1)}{3(n+1)}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} a_{k} a_{r}+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{r} a_{j}+\delta_{r}^{i} a_{j} a_{k}\right) . \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

The trace of this equation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1(n-1)}{3(n+1)} \Gamma_{r k \prime}^{r} & =\frac{1}{3} I_{k f, r}^{r}-\frac{2}{3(n+1)} \Pi_{s k}^{r} \Pi_{r t}^{s} \\
& +\frac{(n-1)(n+3)}{3(n+1)} a_{r} \Pi_{k r}^{r} \\
& +\frac{2(n-1)(n+2)}{3} a_{k} a_{r} . \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (4.24) gives the final result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{j k,}^{i}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\Pi_{j k, r}^{i}+\Pi_{k,, j}^{i}+\Pi_{\gamma, k}^{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{3(n-1)}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Pi_{k, r r}^{r}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Pi_{j, r}^{r}+\delta_{l}^{i} \Pi_{j k, r}^{r}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(I_{r j}^{i} I_{k r}^{r}+\Pi_{r k}^{i} \Pi_{{ }_{k j}}^{r}+\Pi_{r t}^{i} \Pi_{j k}^{r}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{3(n-1)}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Pi_{s k}^{r} \Pi_{r \prime}^{s}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Pi_{s r}^{r} \Pi_{r j}^{s}+\delta_{i}^{i} \Pi_{\mathrm{sj}}^{r} \Pi_{r k}^{s}\right) \\
& +a_{j} \Pi_{k,}^{i}+a_{k} \Pi_{i j}^{i}+a_{i} \Pi_{j k}^{i} \\
& +\delta_{j}^{i} a_{r} \Pi_{k r}^{r}+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{r} \Pi_{j}^{r}+\delta_{i}^{i} a_{r} I_{j k}^{r} \\
& +2\left(\delta_{j}^{i} a_{k} a_{l}+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{i} a_{j}+\delta_{i}^{i} a_{j} a_{k}\right) . \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $I I_{j k}^{i}$, to be the expression given by (4.26) for $a_{j}=0$. Then, the general $\mathrm{n}^{3}$-coframe belonging to the $P_{n}^{3}$ structure may be expressed as the composition of

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{0}^{3} I_{n} & {\left[a_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{j}^{i} a_{k}+a_{k}^{i} a_{j}\right) d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{j} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{k}\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{3!}\left(a_{j}^{i} a_{k} a_{i}+a_{k}^{i} a_{i} a_{j}+a_{i}^{i} a_{j} a_{k}\right) d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{j} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{k} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{\prime}\right] \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0}^{3} I_{n}\left(d_{p}^{3} x^{i}+\frac{1}{2!} I{ }_{j k}^{i} d_{p}^{3} x^{j} d_{p}^{3} x^{k}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3!} I_{j k}^{i} d_{p}^{3} x^{j} d_{p}^{3} x^{k} d_{p}^{3} x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

The 3 jet (4.27) is the typical element of the prolonged group $P_{n}^{3}$.

## 5. RIEMANN AND LORENTZ STRUCTURES

The local Lorentz gauge invariant vierbein formulation of Einstein's general relativity theory is well known. It was first developed by Weyl ${ }^{19}$ in 1929. Much later in 1950, he discussed the coupling of the vierbein fields to the spin density of matter fields and showed that an equivalent metric theory could be formulated. ${ }^{20}$ Thereafter, the theory was analyzed further by Utiyama, ${ }^{21}$ Sciama ${ }^{22}$ and Kibble. ${ }^{23}$ The natural setting for this formulation of general relativity is the theory of an $O_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure on spacetime. In the following presentation, the bundles and formulas needed will be given for the case of a Lorentz structure; however, the corresponding bundles and formulas for the case of a Riemann structure may be obtained simply by substituting the group $O_{n}^{1}$ for the group $O_{1, n-1}^{1}$. Both the first-order $O_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure and its prolongation to the second-order $O_{1, n}^{2}$, , structure are discussed.

The restriction of the action of $G_{n}^{1}$ on $H^{1 *}(M)$ to the
subgroup $O_{1, n-1}^{1}$ may be used to construct the bundle of equivalence classes of $O_{\mathrm{i}, n-1}^{1,}$ related $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}$ coframes

$$
\begin{align*}
& O_{\mathrm{i}, n-1}^{1} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{\rho^{*}}(M) \\
& \quad=\left\langle O_{\mathrm{i}, n-1}^{1} \backslash H^{1 *}(M), \pi_{O_{\mathrm{i}, \ldots \ldots}^{1} \backslash H^{i} *}, M,\right. \\
& \left.\quad O_{1, n-1}^{1} \backslash G_{n}, \mathscr{H}^{1 *}(M)\right\rangle . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

An $O_{\mathrm{i}, n-1}^{1}$ structure on $M$ is a (global) cross section of $O_{1 . n-1}^{1} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{* *}(M)$. Such a cross section may be locally represented by a family of local cross sections of $\mathscr{H}^{\mathbf{1}}(M)$. Any two such local cross sections $h: U \rightarrow H^{1 *}(U)$ and $\tilde{h}: U \rightarrow H^{1 *}(U)$ are related by a local $\left.O\right|_{1, n-1} ^{1}$ gauge transformation defined by a cross section $A: U \rightarrow U \times O{ }_{\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{n}-1}^{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
h(p) & =\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left[h_{j}^{i}(p) d d_{p}^{1} x^{i}\right], \\
\hat{h}(p) & =\Lambda(p) \circ h(p) \\
& =\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left[\Lambda_{r}^{i}(p) h_{j}^{r}(p) d d_{p}^{1} x^{j}\right], \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i j} \Lambda_{i}^{i}(p) \Lambda_{m}^{j}(p)=\eta_{t m} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For both the Lorentz and Riemann cases, a suitable gaugefixing condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j}^{i}(p)=0 ; i>j . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For such a choice of gauge, the matrix $\left[h_{i}^{i}(p)\right]$ is upper triangular.

The local cross sections $h$ are usually called $n$-bein fields. The Lorentz metric tensor defined by the $O_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure is locally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\eta_{i j} h_{k}^{i} h_{d}^{j} d x^{k} \otimes d x^{\prime} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since these local metric tensor fields are $O_{1, n-1}^{1}$ gauge independent, they can be patched together to define a global metric tensor field.

Now, consider the problem of prolonging an $O_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure to an $O_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure. At $p \in M$, a general element of the equivalent class of frames defined by the $O \frac{1, n-1}{1}$ structure is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(\Lambda_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r} d_{p}^{1} x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A general $n^{2}$ coframe having the same first-order part and belonging to some $O_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(\Lambda_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} \Lambda_{r}^{i} h_{s}^{r} \Gamma_{j k}^{s} d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that factoring out $h_{s}^{r}$ in the second-order part is a mere convenience. If $q \in M$ is near $p \in M$ and $w^{i}=x^{i}(q)-x^{i}(p)$, then (5.7) defines at $q$ an equivalence class of $n^{1}$ coframes containing the element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(\left(\Lambda_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r}+\Lambda_{r}^{i} h_{s}^{r} \Gamma_{j}^{s} w^{\prime} \backslash d_{q}^{1} x^{j}\right) .\right. \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the $O_{1, n-1}$, structure or Lorentz structure already determines at $q$ an equivalence class of $n^{1}$ coframes which contains the standard element [some gauge fixing condition such as (5.4) is assumed]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(\left(h_{j}^{i}+h_{j, 1}^{i} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{1} x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition that the $O_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure is compatible with the $O_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure is that the two equivalence classes of $O_{1, n-1}^{0}$ related $n^{1}$ coframes determined by (5.8) and (5.9) are the same. In order to make the desired comparison, it is
necessary to transform the $n^{1}$ coframe to standard form. Now, the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j}^{i}+h_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j i}^{r} w^{c} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

differs only infinitesimally from standard form. Hence, for some infinitesimal Lorentz transformation, say

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda_{j}^{i}=\delta_{j}^{i}+\lambda_{j r}^{j} \omega^{\prime}  \tag{5.11}\\
& \eta_{i r} \lambda_{j r}^{\prime}=\lambda_{i j r}=-\lambda_{j i r}
\end{align*}
$$

the matrix
$\Lambda_{s}^{i}\left(h_{j}^{s}+h_{r}^{s} \Gamma_{j}^{r} w^{\prime}\right)=h_{j}^{i}+h_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j i}^{r} w^{\prime}+h_{j}^{r} \lambda{ }_{r i}^{i} w^{\prime}$
is in standard form. If the particular gauge-fixing condition (5.4) is used, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j c}^{j}+h_{j}^{r} \lambda_{r r}^{i}=0 ; i>j . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{j}^{r}=0$ unless $j \geqslant r$. Eqs. (5.13) contain $\lambda_{r r}{ }^{i}$ for $i>r$ and may be solved successively for $\lambda_{j<}^{i}(i>j)$ in terms of $h_{j}^{i}$ and $\Gamma_{j}^{i}$. The values for $i<j$ follow from the skew symmetry of $\lambda_{i j i}$ in $i$ and $j$. Although Eq. (5.13) are easy to solve, it is somewhat tedious to write the solution out explicitly. Fortunately, in this case, it is not necessary to do so; nevertheless, the expression (5.12) should be regarded as a function of $h_{j}^{i}, \Gamma_{j e}^{i}$, and $w^{c}$. If this expression is equated with the matrix of the standard form (5.9), one obtains the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j, r}^{i}=h_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j f}^{r}+h_{j}^{r} \lambda_{r c}^{i} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Gamma_{j f}^{i}=\Gamma_{g}^{i}$, the skew part of (5.14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j, r}^{i}-h_{i, j}^{i}=h_{j}^{r} \lambda_{r 1}^{i}-h_{r}^{r} \lambda_{r j}^{i} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\lambda}_{i j k}=\eta_{i s} \lambda_{j r}^{s} h^{-1 r_{k}} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ( 5.15 ) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i r}\left(h_{r, s}^{\prime}-h_{s, r}^{\prime}\right) h_{j}^{-r_{j}} h_{k}^{-1 s}=\hat{\lambda}_{i j k}-\hat{\lambda}_{i k j} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cyclic permutation of the indices and use of the skew symmetry (5.11) yields the solution given by De Witt ${ }^{24}$; namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \hat{\lambda}_{i j k}= & \eta_{i f}\left(h_{r, s}^{\prime}-h_{s, r}^{\prime}\right) h^{-1 r_{j}} h^{-1 s_{k}} \\
& +\eta_{j \prime}\left(h_{r, s}^{\prime}-h_{s, r}^{\prime}\right) h^{-1_{k}} h^{-1 s_{i}} \\
& -\eta_{k+}\left(h_{r, s}^{\prime}-h_{s, r}^{\prime}\right) h^{-1_{i}} h^{-1 s_{j}} . \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

This result may then be used in (5.14) to give the usual result for $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}$ which may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j k}^{i}=h^{-1 i}, h_{j, k}^{r}-\eta^{s s} h^{-1 i}, \hat{\lambda}_{s a b} h_{j}^{a} h_{k}^{b} . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although the standard gauge defined by (5.4) was assumed in order to make the discussion more concrete, the result (5.18) is gauge-independent provided that the quantities $\lambda_{j r}^{j}$ transfrom in the manner appropriate to an $\mathrm{O}(1,3)$ gauge connection under a local gauge transformation.

It is useful to discuss an alternate approach to the Lorentz structure of spacetime. Consider the bundle of $1^{2}$ cospeeds

$$
\mathscr{L}_{1}^{2 *}(\boldsymbol{M})=\left\langle L_{1}^{2}{ }^{*}(\boldsymbol{M}), \pi_{L_{i}^{2 *}}, \boldsymbol{M}, L_{n, 1}^{2}, \mathscr{H}^{2 *}(\boldsymbol{M})\right\rangle .(5.20)
$$

If $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p})=0$, then $j_{p}^{2} f \in L_{1}^{2} *\left(M_{p}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{p}^{2} f=\partial_{0}^{2} I\left(f_{i} d_{\rho}^{2} x^{i}+\frac{1}{2!} f_{i j} d_{\rho}^{2} x^{i} d_{\rho}^{2} x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If only those functions $f$ are considered which are singular but Morse with signature $2-\mathrm{n}$ at p , then a subbundle $\hat{\mathscr{L}}_{1}^{2} *(M)$ of $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{2} *(M)$ is obtained consisting of elements of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{p}^{2} g=\partial_{0}^{2} I\left(\frac{1}{2!} g_{i j} d_{p}^{2} x^{i} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}\right) \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ assumes in some coordinate system the standard Minkowski form. Clearly, a Lorentz structure may also be defined on $M$ by a global cross section of the $\hat{\mathscr{L}}^{2 *}(M)$ as is evident from a comparison of (5.5) and (5.22).

The principal bundle associated with $\hat{\mathscr{L}}^{2} *(M)$ is $\mathscr{H}^{1 *}(M)$ rather than $\mathscr{H}^{2 *}(M)$; consequently, if ( 5.22 ) is parallel transported using (3.23), only the first-order part will have any effect. The element (5.22) is mapped into
$\partial_{0}^{2} I\left[\frac{1}{2!}\left(g_{i j}+g_{r j} \Gamma_{i,}^{r} w^{\prime}+g_{i r} \Gamma_{j}^{r} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{i} d_{q}^{2} x^{j}\right]$.
If the Lorentz structure defined by the cross section of
$\hat{\mathscr{L}}_{1}^{2} *(\boldsymbol{M})$ is horizontal under the connection induced by the second-order structure, the element (5.23) must equal the element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I\left[\frac{1}{2!}\left(g_{i j}+g_{i j, c} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{i} d_{q}^{2} x^{j}\right] \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j, k}=g_{i r} \Gamma_{j k}^{r}+g_{r j} \Gamma_{i k}^{r} . \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation may also be derived using (5.5) and (5.14). The well-known solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j k}^{i}=\frac{1}{2} g^{i r}\left(g_{r j, k}+g_{r k, j}-g_{j k, r}\right) \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g^{i j}$ is the inverse of $g_{i j}$.
The compatibility conditions (5.14) and (5.25) are not the most general possible. Just as in the affine and projective cases, allowance should be made for a possible term $B_{j k}^{i}$ with $B_{j k}^{i}=-B_{k j}^{i}$. Thus (5.14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j, r}^{i}+h_{r}^{i} B_{j \prime}^{r}=h_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j \prime}^{r}+h_{j}^{r} \lambda_{r l}^{i}, \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (5.25) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j, k}+g_{i r} B_{j k}^{r}+g_{r j} B_{i k}^{r}=g_{i r} \Gamma_{j k}^{r}+g_{r j} \Gamma_{i k}^{r} . \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation leads to the formula given by Schrödinger. ${ }^{25}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{j k}^{i}= & \frac{1}{2} g^{i r}\left(g_{r j, k}+g_{r k, j}-g_{j k, r}\right) \\
& +g_{j s} g^{i r} B_{r k}^{s}+g_{k s} g^{i r} B_{r j}^{s} . \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, since the affine connection induced by the $O_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure is completely defined, further prolongations are determined by the formulas that apply in the affine case. Also under infinitesimal parallel transport, the connection induces infinitesimal $O_{\mathrm{i}, n-1}^{1}$ transformations.

## 6. THE CONFORMAL STRUCTURE

The analysis of a first-order conformal or $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure on $M$ and of its prolongation to a second-order conformal or $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure on $M$ is similar to the corresponding analysis of the Lorentz structure. The further prolongation of the $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure to a $C_{1, n-1}^{3}$ structure may be derived by an analysis entirely analogous to that given for the corresponding prolongation in the affine and projective cases; however, the algebra required is rather tedious al-
though in principle straight forward. Thus, the explicit solution for the $C_{1, n-1}^{3}$ structure will not be given although the problem will be set up and a solution shown to exist.

A first-order conformal or $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure on $\boldsymbol{M}$ is a reduction of the structure group $G_{n}^{1}$ of the bundle of $n^{1}$ coframes $\mathscr{H}^{1 *}(M)$ to the subgroup $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$; that is, a $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure is defined by a cross section of the bundle of equivalence classes of $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ related $n^{1}$ coframes

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\mathrm{i}, n-1}^{1} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{1 *}(M) \\
& =\left\langle C_{1, n-1}^{1} \backslash H^{1 *}(M), \pi_{C 1 . n} \backslash H^{\prime \prime}, M\right. \\
&  \tag{6.1}\\
& \left.\quad C_{1, n-1}^{1} \backslash G_{n}^{1}, \mathscr{H}^{1 *}(M)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Such a cross section is locally represented by a family of local cross sections of $\mathscr{H}^{(*}(\boldsymbol{M})$. Any two local cross sections $h: U \rightarrow H^{1 *}(U)$ and $\tilde{h}: U \rightarrow H^{1 *}(U)$ arerelated by a local $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ gauge transformation defined by a cross section $c: U \rightarrow U \times C_{1, n-1}^{1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(p)=\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(h_{j}^{i}(p) d_{p}^{1} x^{j}\right) \\
& \tilde{h}(p)=c(p) \circ h(p)=\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(c_{r}^{i}(p) h_{j}^{r}(p) d_{p}^{1} x^{j}\right), \tag{6,2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{j}^{i}(p)=e^{\lambda(p)} \Lambda_{j}^{i}(p)  \tag{6.3}\\
& \eta_{i j} \Lambda_{k}^{i}(p) \Lambda^{j}(p)=\eta_{k i}
\end{align*}
$$

As for the Riemann and Lorentz cases, many gauge-fixing conditions are possible. One possible choice is

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{j}^{i}=0 ; i>j  \tag{6.4}\\
& h_{0}^{0}=1
\end{align*}
$$

The metric tensor fields $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ defined by $h$ and $\tilde{h}$ by (5.5) are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}(p)=e^{2 \lambda(p)} g(p) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prolong the $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure to a $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure consider a general $n^{2}$ coframe belonging to a $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ equivalence class of $n^{2}$ coframes and having a first-order part belonging to the $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure; namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(c_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} c_{r}^{i} h_{s}^{r} \Gamma_{j k}^{s} d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $n^{2}$-coframe at $p$ defines a $n^{1}$ coframe at a neighboring point $q$; namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(\left(c_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r}+c_{r}^{i} h_{s}^{r} \Gamma_{j r}^{s} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{1} x^{j}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w^{i}=x^{i}(q)-x^{i}(p)$. However, the $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure already determines at $q$ an equivalence class of $n^{1}$ coframes at $q$ determined by the standard $n^{1}$-coframe

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{1} I_{n}\left(\left(h_{j}^{i}+h_{j, c^{i}}^{i} w^{\prime}\right) d_{q}^{1} x^{j}\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For compatibility, the equivalence classes of $n^{\prime}$ coframes defined by $(6.7)$ and (6.8) must be the same. To reduce the $n^{1}$ coframe to standard form, one must determine the infinitesimal conformal transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{j}^{i}=\delta_{j}^{i}+\lambda_{i} w^{\prime} \delta_{j}^{i}+\lambda_{j i}^{i} w^{\prime} \\
& \eta_{i r} \lambda_{j \prime}^{r}=\lambda_{i j \prime}=-\lambda_{j i \prime} \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

such that the matrix
$c_{r}^{i}\left(h_{j}^{r}+h_{s}^{r} \Gamma_{j}^{s} w^{\prime}\right)=h_{j}^{i}+h_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j r}^{r} w^{\prime}+h_{j}^{r} \lambda_{r r}^{i} w^{\prime}+\lambda, w^{\prime} \delta_{j}^{i}$
satisfies the conditions (6.4); that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j r}^{r}+h_{j}^{r} \lambda_{r \prime}^{i}=0 ; i>j \\
& h_{r}^{0} \Gamma_{o r}^{r}+\lambda_{r}=0 . \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $h_{0}^{r} \lambda_{r,}^{0}=0$ since $h_{0}^{r}=0$ unless $r=0$ and $\lambda_{i j}$ is skew in $i$ and $j$. The discussion given for the Lorentz case applies equally to Eqs. (6.11). The solutions for $\lambda_{j}^{i}$, and $\lambda$, are substituted into (6.10) which is then in standard form. Equating the matrix of (6.8) with the matrix (6.10) gives the compatibility condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j, r}^{i}=h_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j,}^{r}+h_{j}^{r} \lambda_{r r}^{i}+\lambda_{,} h_{j}^{i} . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the Lorentz case, the possibility of a torsion term is for simplicity not considered.

The algebraic difficulties are considerably reduced if the problem is reformulated in terms of metric tensor fields. For this purpose, it is necessary to introduce the bundles $G_{1}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{L}_{1}^{2 *}(M)$ and $G_{1}^{2} \backslash \hat{\mathscr{L}}_{1}^{2} *(M)$ of equivalence classes of elements of $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{2} *(M)$ and $\hat{\mathscr{L}}_{1}^{2} *(M)$ under the action of the parameter group $G_{1}^{2}$. If $\mu: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a diffeomorphism with $\mu(0)=0$, then $j_{0}^{2} \mu \in G_{1}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0}^{2} \mu=\partial_{0}^{2} I\left(\mu_{1} d_{0}^{2} I+\frac{1}{2!} \mu_{2}\left(d_{0}^{2} I\right)^{2}\right) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are the first and second derivatives of $\mu$ at $0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, if $j_{p}^{2} f \in L_{1}^{2 *}\left(M_{\rho}\right)$ is given by (5.21) and $\tilde{f}=\mu \circ f$, the action of $G_{1}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{p}^{2} \tilde{f}=j_{0}^{2} \mu \circ j_{p}^{2} f \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In component form, this action is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{f}_{i}=\mu_{1} f_{i}  \tag{6.15}\\
& \tilde{f}_{i j}=\mu_{1} f_{i j}+\mu_{2} f_{i} f_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

For elements of the subbundle $\hat{\mathscr{L}}_{1}^{2} *(M)$ given by (5.22), this action simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}_{i j}=\mu_{1} g_{i j} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure on $M$ may also be defined by a (global) cross section of $G_{1}^{2} \backslash \hat{\mathscr{L}}_{1}^{2} *(M)$ which may be represented by local cross sections of $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{2} *(M)$, any two of which are related by a gauge transformation (6.16). Numerous gaugefixing conditions are possible. A simple choice [which is not consistent with (6.4)] is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{00}=1 \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, under the parallel transport induced by the prolonged structure, the standard representative (5.22) is transformed into (5.23). For some infinitesimal gauge transformation ( $\mu_{1}=2 \lambda, w^{\prime}$ ) the element (5.23) is transformed into standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j}+g_{r j} \Gamma_{i r}^{r} w^{\prime}+g_{i r} \Gamma_{j /}^{r} w^{\prime}+2 g_{i j} \lambda, w^{\prime} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the gauge-fixing condition (6.17) is used, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{r}=-g_{0 r} \Gamma_{0 r}^{r} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the conformal connection coefficients $K_{j k}^{i}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{j k}^{i}=K_{j k}^{i}+\frac{1}{n}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Gamma_{k}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Gamma_{j}-g_{j k} g^{i r} \Gamma_{r}\right)  \tag{6.20}\\
& K_{i k}^{i}=0, \quad \Gamma_{k}=\Gamma_{i k}^{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (6.20) into (6.18). The terms involving $\Gamma_{k}$ cancel. Thus the matrix of the standard metric representative at $q$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j}+g_{r j} K_{i ;}^{r} w^{\prime}+g_{i r} K_{j r}^{r} w^{\prime}-2 g_{i j} g_{0 r} K_{0 r}^{r} w^{\prime} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which must equal the matrix of the standard element (5.24); consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j, k}=g_{r j} K_{i k}^{r}+g_{i r} K_{j k}^{r}-2 g_{i j} g_{0 r} K_{0 k}^{r} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{i j} g_{i j, k}=-2 n g_{0 r} K_{0 k}^{r} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i r} K_{j k}^{r}+g_{r j} K_{i k}^{r}=g_{i j, k}-\frac{1}{n} g_{i j} g^{r s} g_{r s, k} \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation may be solved to give

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{j k}^{i}= & \frac{1}{2} g^{i r}\left(g_{r j, k}+g_{r k, j}-g_{j k, r}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 n}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} g^{r s} g_{r s, k}+\delta_{k}^{i} g^{r s} g_{r s, j}-g_{j k} g^{i t} g^{r s} g_{r s, t}\right) \tag{6.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Although a particular gauge was used for the computation, the result (6.25) is in fact gauge independent. Note that the trace $\Gamma_{k}$ of $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}$ is completely undetermined. If these results are now substituted into (6.6), it is readily shown that the typical $n^{2}$ coframe belonging to the prolonged structure may be expressed as the composition of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left[c_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(c_{j}^{i} c_{k}+c_{k}^{i} c_{j}-\eta_{j k} \eta^{i r} c_{r}\right) d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{k}\right] \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(h_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} h_{r}^{i} K_{j k}^{r} d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right) \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{k}=c_{r} h_{k}^{r} \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 2 jet (6.26) is a general element of the prolonged group $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$. The general $n^{2}$ coframe belonging to the $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left[a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} a_{r}^{i}\left(K_{j k}^{r}+\delta_{j}^{r} a_{k}+\delta_{k}^{r} a_{j}\right.\right. \\
\\
\text { where }
\end{array} g_{j k} g^{r s} a_{s}\right) d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}^{i}=c_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r} \quad a_{k}=c_{r} h_{k}^{r} . \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prolongation of the $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure to a $C_{1, n-1}^{3}$ structure follows the same pattern. Consider the most general $n^{3}$ coframe which projects to the $n^{2}$ coframe (6.29).

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{0}^{3} I_{n} & {\left[a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{3} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} a_{r}^{i}\left(K_{j k}^{r}+\delta_{j}^{r} a_{k}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.+\delta_{k}^{r} a_{j}-g_{j k} g^{r s} a_{s}\right) d_{p}^{3} x^{j} d_{p}^{3} x^{k} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3!} a_{r}^{i} \Gamma_{j k r}^{r} d_{p}^{3} x^{j} d_{p}^{3} x^{k} d_{p}^{3} x^{\prime}\right] . \tag{6.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The $n^{2}$-coframe at $q$ determined by (6.31) by the substitution process is

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n} & {\left[a _ { r } ^ { i } \left(\delta_{j}^{r}+K_{j s}^{r} w^{s}+\delta_{j}^{r} a_{s} w^{s}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.+w^{r} a_{j}-g^{r t} a_{t} g_{j s} w^{s}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} \\
& +\frac{1}{2!} a_{r}^{i}\left(K_{j k}^{r}+\delta_{j}^{r} a_{k}+\delta_{k}^{r} a_{j}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-g_{j k} g^{r t} a_{t}+\Gamma_{j k s}^{r} w^{s}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} d_{q}^{2} x^{k}\right] . \tag{6.32}
\end{align*}
$$

The next task is to bring the first-order part of (6.32) to a form satisfying the standard gauge conditions (6.4). The problem is essentially the same as that discussed above following ( 6.9 ) in connection with the prolongation from a $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure to a $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure; that is, the form of the linear equations for the parameters of the infinitessimal $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ transformation is the same. However, the number of terms involved is even greater than it was before. Moreover, it does not seem possible to artfully dodge the problem as before because it is necessary to know the additional terms that will appear in the second-order part of (6.32) when the first-order part is brought to standard form. Once the firstorder part is in standard form, it is easy to bring the secondorder part to standard form by applying (6.26) with
$c_{j}^{i}=\delta_{j}^{i}$ and $c_{j}$ chosen so that the coefficient of $h_{r}^{i}$ in the sec-ond-order term will have zero trace.

The standard $n^{2}$ coframe at $q$ obtained by the above procedure must agree with the appropriate $n^{2}$ coframe at $q$ determined by the $C_{\mathrm{i}, n-1}^{2}$ structure. The $n^{2}$ coframe corresponding to (6.27) evaluated at $q$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n} & {\left[\left(h_{j}^{i}+h_{j, s}^{i} w^{s}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j}\right.} \\
& +\frac{1}{2!} h_{r}^{i}\left(K_{j k}^{r}+K_{j k, s}^{r} w^{s}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+h^{-1 r} h_{b, s}^{a} K_{j k}^{b} w^{s}\right) d_{q}^{2} x^{j} d_{q}^{2} x^{k}\right] \tag{6.33}
\end{align*}
$$

However, the coefficient of $h_{r}^{i}=h_{r}^{i}(p)$ in (6.33) does not have zero trace. This trace must be removed before the comparison with the standard form of (6.32) described above is made.

The first-order terms must necessarily agree because the $K_{j k}^{i}$ were defined by that condition at the previous stage. The demand that the second-order terms agree up to a term of the form $h_{r}^{i} B_{j k s}^{r} w^{s}$, where $B_{j k s}^{i}$ is traceless and has the same symmetries as in the affine and projective cases, gives an equation for the $\Gamma_{j k!}^{i}$ which may be solved in a manner similar to that used in the projective case. The solution gives $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}$ in terms of $h_{j}^{i}, K_{j k}^{i}$, and $a_{j}$. The general $n^{3}$ coframe belonging to the $C_{1, n-1}^{3}$ structure may be obtained by substituting this solution into (6.31) which may in turn be factored into a $C_{1, n-1}^{3}$ gauge group element and the standard $n^{3}$ coframe for the $C_{1, n-1}^{3}$, structure.

## 7. THE WEYL STRUCTURE

A Weyl structure on $M$ is a reduction of the structure group $G_{n}^{2}$ of $\mathscr{H}^{2 *}(M)$ to the Weyl subgroup $W_{1, n-1}^{2}$ (see Appendix Cl. Since $W_{1, n-1}^{2}$ is a Lie subgroup of $C_{1, n-1}^{2,}$ the reduction may be accomplished by first defining a $C_{1, n-1}^{1}$ structure and prolonging this structure to a $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure and then reducing this structure to a $W_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure.

The general element of an equivalence class belonging to a $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure is given by (6.6) together with (6.20) and (6:25). The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n} & {\left[c_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} c_{r}^{i} h_{s}^{r}\left(K_{j k}^{s}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{n}\left(\delta_{j}^{s} \Gamma_{k}+\delta_{k}^{s} \Gamma_{j}-g_{j k} g^{s t} \Gamma_{t}\right) d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right] \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{k}=c, h_{k}^{r}$. Clearly, the reduction to $W_{1, n-1}^{2}$ is determined locally by specifying the $\Gamma_{k}$ (or $c_{k}$ ) as functions of $p \in U \subset M$. The connection determined by the $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}$ given by ( 6.20 ) is then fully specified; consequently, the formulas for the higher-order prolongations of an affine structure may be used to determine the higher-order prolongations of a Weyl structure. The Weyl connection induces infinitesimal conformal transformations under infinitesimal parallel transport.

## 8. THE CONFORMAL-PROJECTIVE COMPATIBILITY CONDITION

In this section, the algebraic condition that expresses the compatibility between a conformal or $C_{1, n-1}^{2,}$, structure and a projective or $P_{n}^{2}$ structure is derived using the analysis of Secs. 4 and 6 . The result was originally derived by Ehlers, et al. ', from constructive axioms for general relativity theory. The derivation given here is not a replacement for their argument, but is rather a supplement which clarifies the geometric interpretation of the condition as the necessary and sufficient condition that a $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure and a $P_{n}^{2}$ structure are compatible and uniquely define a Weyl or $W_{1, n-1}^{2}$ structure. ${ }^{26}$

A general element belonging to an equivalence class of $P_{n}^{2}$ related $n^{2}$ coframes defined by a projective structure is given by (4.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left[a_{j}^{i} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} a_{r}^{i}\left(\Pi_{j k}^{r}+\delta_{j}^{i} a_{k}\right)+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{j}\right] d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A general element belonging to an equivalence class of $C_{1, n-1}^{2}$ related $n^{2}$ coframes is given by (7.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left[c_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r} d_{p}^{2} x^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} c_{r}^{i} h_{s}^{r}\left(K_{j k}^{s}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{1}{n}\left(\delta_{j}^{s} \Gamma_{k}+\delta_{k}^{s} \Gamma_{j}-g_{j k} g^{s a} \Gamma_{a}\right)\right) d_{p}^{2} x^{j} d_{p}^{2} x^{k}\right] \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The two structures are compatible iff the equivalent classes defined by (8.1) and (8.2) have a nonempty intersection. Consideration of the first-order parts shows that a projective coframe is a conformal coframe only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}^{i}=c_{r}^{i} h_{j}^{r} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison of the second-order parts then yields the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{j k}^{i}+ & \delta_{j}^{i} a_{k}+\delta_{k}^{i} a_{j} \\
& =K_{j k}^{i}+\frac{1}{n}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Gamma_{k}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Gamma_{j}-g_{j k} g^{i r} \Gamma_{r}\right) \tag{8.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j k}^{i}=\Pi_{j k}^{i}-K_{j k}^{i} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then take the trace of (8.4) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) a_{k}=\Gamma_{k} . \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j k}^{i}=\frac{1}{n(n+1)}\left(\delta_{j}^{i} \Gamma_{k}+\delta_{k}^{i} \Gamma_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{n} g_{j k} g^{i r} \Gamma_{r} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{r s} \Delta_{r s}^{i}=-\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{n(n+1)} g^{i r} \Gamma_{r} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{k}=-\frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)(n+2)} g_{k t} g^{r s} \Delta_{r s}^{t} \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of (8.9) into (8.7) yields a system of linear equations for $\Delta{ }_{j k}^{i}$ which are satisfied iff the projective and conformal structures are compatible; moreover, if they are compatible, $\Gamma_{k}$ given by (8.9) and hence $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}$ are well defined and the conformal and projective structures define a unique Weyl structure.

## APPENDIX A: OF GERMS AND JETS

Let $M$ and $N$ be $C^{\infty}$ differentiable manifolds. Denote by $C^{\infty}(M, N)$ the set of $C^{\infty}$ differentiable maps $f: M \rightarrow N$. Any two maps $f_{1}, f_{2} \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ are said to be germ equivalent at $p \in M$ iff there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $p$ on which $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ agree. The germ $j_{p} f$ of a $\operatorname{map} f \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ is the equivalence class to which $f$ belongs. The set of such equivalence classes will be denoted by $J\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{p}, N\right)$. In certain applications, maps defined only on some open submanifold $U$ of $M$ are considered. The set of germs of such maps is then denoted by $J\left(U_{p}, N\right)$. The map $j_{p}: C^{\infty}(M, N) \rightarrow J\left(M_{p}, N\right)$ is the canonical projection.

Any two maps $f_{1}, f_{2} \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ are said to be $k$-jet equivalent iff they agree at $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(p)=f_{2}(p)=q \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any charts $(U, x)_{p}$ and $(V, y)_{q}$ where $p \in U$ and $q \in V$, the maps $y \circ f_{1} \circ x^{-1}$ and $y \circ f_{2} \circ x^{-1}$ have the same partial derivatives of all types at $x(p)$ up to and including order $k$. That this equivalence relation is independent of the choice of coordinate charts follows by applying the chain rule to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y} \circ f \circ \bar{x}^{-1}=\left(\bar{y} \circ y^{-1}\right) \circ\left(y \circ f \circ x^{-1}\right) \circ(x \circ \bar{x}-1) . \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $k$ jet $j_{p}^{k} f$ of a map $f \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ is the equivalence class to which $f$ belongs. The set of $k$ jets with source $p \in M$ and target $q \in N$ is denoted by $J^{k}\left(M_{p}, N_{q}\right)$, and the corresponding sets with unrestricted source, target, or both are denoted by $J^{k}\left(M, N_{q}\right), J^{k}\left(M_{p}, N\right)$, and $J^{k}(M, N)$, respectively. Again, for some applications it may be convenient to consider the set of maps $C^{\infty}(U, V)$ where $U$ and $V$ are open submanifolds of $M$ and $N$. Then the corresponding sets of $k$ jets are denoted by $J^{k}\left(U_{p}, V_{q}\right)$ and so forth. The map
$j_{p}^{k}: C^{\infty}(M, N) \rightarrow J^{k}\left(M_{p}, N\right)$ is the canonical projection. Since $j_{p} f \supset j_{p}^{k} f$ one may also use $j_{p}^{k}$ to denote the projection
$j_{p}^{k}: J\left(M_{p}, N\right) \rightarrow J^{k}\left(M_{p}, N\right)$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{p}^{k}\left(j_{p} f\right)=j_{p}^{k} f \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M, L$ and $N$ are $C^{\infty}$ manifolds, then the composition map ${ }^{\circ}: C^{\infty}(L, N) \times C^{\infty}(M, L) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, N)$ defined by
$g \circ f(p)=g(f(p))$ induces a number of compositions between germs and jets; namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{p}(g \circ f) & =j_{f(p)} g \circ j_{p} f \\
j_{p}^{k}(g \circ f) & =j_{f(p)}^{k} g \circ j_{p} f \\
& =j_{f(p)} g \circ j_{p}^{k} f \\
& =j_{f(p)}^{k} g \circ j_{p}^{k} f . \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

An algebraic structure on the manifold $N$ will in general induce an algebraic structure on $J\left(M_{p}, N\right)$ and $J^{k}\left(M_{p}, N\right)$; for example, these sets inherit algebra structures if $N$ is $\mathbb{R}$. Define $\forall j_{p}^{k} f, j_{p}^{k} f_{1}, j_{p}^{k} f_{2} \in J^{k}\left(M_{p}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\forall \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1} j_{p}^{k} f_{1}+\lambda_{2} j_{p}^{k} f_{2}=j_{p}^{k}\left(\lambda_{1} f_{1}+\lambda_{2} f_{2}\right), \\
& j_{p}^{k} f_{1} j_{p}^{k} f_{2}=j_{p}^{k}\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right), \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $\lambda_{1} f_{1}+\lambda_{2} f_{2}$ and $f_{1} f_{2}$ are defined by pointwise scalar multiplication, addition, and multiplication. The definitions of the algebra operations for $J\left(M_{p}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ are similar. Also, if $N$ is a vector space, then so are $J\left(M_{p}, N\right)$ and $J^{k}\left(M_{p} N\right)$, and if $N$ is finite dimensional, then so is $J^{k}\left(M_{p}, N\right)$.

Any element $j_{p}^{k} f \in J^{k}\left(M_{p}, N_{q}\right)$ may be invariantly represented with respect to any coordinate charts $(U, x)_{p}$ and $(V, y)_{q}$. Denote by $x(p)$ the constant map on $U$ which maps every point of $U$ into $x(p)$, and set $x_{p}=x-x(p)$. Similarly, define the $\operatorname{map} y_{q}=y-y(q)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{p}^{k} f & =j_{p}^{k}\left(y_{q}^{-1} \circ y_{q} \circ f \circ x_{p}^{-1} \circ x_{p}\right) \\
& =j_{0}^{k} y_{q}^{-1} \circ j_{p}^{k}\left(F \circ x_{p}\right) . \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F=y_{q} \circ f \circ x_{p}^{-1}$. Note that $F(\mathbf{0})=0$. Since $F \circ x_{p}$ may be expanded in powers of the functions $x_{p}^{i}$ on $U$ and since $j_{p}^{k}$ projects products of the functions $x_{p}^{i}$ with more than $k$ factors onto the zero equivalence class, the $k$ jet (A6) may be expanded in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{p}^{k} f= & j_{0}^{k} y_{q}^{-1}\left(F_{i_{1}} j_{p}^{k} x_{p}^{i_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\ldots+\frac{1}{k!} F_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{\lambda}} j_{p}^{k} x_{p}^{i_{1}} \ldots j_{p}^{k} x_{p}^{i_{p}}\right) \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $F_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{r}}$ are the partial derivatives of the function $F$ at 0 . The transformation law for these coefficients may readily be determined with the aid of the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{p}^{k} x_{p}=j_{p}^{k}\left(x_{p} \circ \bar{x}_{p}^{-1} \circ \bar{x}_{p}\right)=j_{0}^{k} X_{p} \circ j_{p}^{k} \bar{x}_{p}, \\
& j_{0}^{k} y_{q}^{-1}=j_{0}^{k}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{q}^{-1} \circ \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{q} \circ y_{q}^{-1}\right)=j_{0}^{k} \bar{y}_{q}^{-} \circ j_{0}^{k} \bar{Y}_{q}, \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{p}=x_{p} \cdot \bar{x}_{p}^{-1}$ and $\bar{Y}_{q}=\bar{y}_{q} \circ y_{q}{ }^{-1}$.
Both simplicity and flexibility are greatly enhanced by the following notational convention. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{p}^{k} x=j_{p}^{k} x_{p} \\
& \partial_{p}^{k} x=j_{0}^{k} x_{p}^{-1} \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{p}^{k} x \circ \partial_{p}^{k} x=j_{0}^{k} I_{n}, \\
& \partial_{p}^{k} x \circ d_{p}^{k} x=j_{p}^{k} I_{M} . \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

The expansion (A7) may be written

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{p}^{k} f= & \partial_{p}^{k} y\left(F_{i_{1}} d_{p}^{k} x^{i_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\ldots+\frac{1}{k!} f_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} d_{p}^{k} x^{i_{1}} \ldots d_{p}^{k} x^{i_{1}}\right) . \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

For a change of variables (A8), the following formulas are useful.

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{p}^{k} \bar{x} \circ \partial_{p}^{k} x=j_{0}^{k} \bar{X}_{p}, \\
& d_{p}^{k} x \circ \partial_{p}^{k} \bar{x}=j_{0}^{k} X_{p} . \tag{A12}
\end{align*}
$$

For mappings from or into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the standard chart
$\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, I_{n}\right)$ is used. Thus for a curve $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(0)=p$,

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{0}^{k} \gamma= & \partial_{p}^{k} x\left(\gamma_{1} d_{0}^{k} I+\frac{1}{2!} \gamma_{2}\left(d_{0}^{k} I\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\ldots+\frac{1}{k!} \gamma_{k}\left(d_{0}^{k} I\right)^{k}\right), \tag{A13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\alpha}^{j}=\left.\frac{d^{\alpha}}{d t^{\alpha}}\left(x_{p}^{i} \circ \gamma\right)\right|_{t=0} \quad \alpha=1,2, \ldots, k \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the argument of $\partial_{p}^{k} x$ in (A13) is an element of $\mathbb{R}^{n} ;$ so that each $\gamma_{\alpha}$ is an $n$-tuple. A similar remark applies to the argument of $\partial_{p}^{k} y$ in (A11).

Finally, note that $\partial_{p}^{k} x$ and $d_{p}^{k} x$ denote $k$ jets at a given point, and that $\partial^{k} x$ and $d^{k} x$ denote $k$ jet fields.

## APPENDIX B: NOTATION FOR JET BUNDLES

It is useful to have special notations for particular sets of jets and for particular jet bundle structures. A principal bundle structure will be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}(\boldsymbol{M})=\left\langle P(\boldsymbol{M}), \pi_{P}, M, G\right\rangle \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(M)$ is the total space, $\pi_{P}$ is the projection map, $M$ is the base space, and $G$ is the structure group of the bundle. A bundle structure associated with a given principal bundle structure will be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}(\boldsymbol{M})=\left\langle E(M), \pi_{E}, \boldsymbol{M}, F, \mathscr{P}(\boldsymbol{M})\right\rangle, \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(M)$ is the total space and $F$ is the typical fiber.
Set

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{m, n}^{k} & =J^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}_{0}^{m}, \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathrm{n}}\right), \\
A_{m, n}^{k} & =J^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}_{0}^{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)  \tag{B3}\\
& =\mathbb{R}^{n} \oplus L_{m, n}^{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $A_{m, n}^{k}$ may be regarded as the $n$th power of the algebra $A_{m, 1}^{k}$. Denote by $G_{m, n}^{k}$ the subset of $L_{m, n}^{k}$ consisting of jets of maximal rank. For the case $m=n$, set $G_{n}^{k}=G_{n, n}^{k}$. The elements of $G_{n}^{k}$ are jets of local diffeomorphisms and form a Lie group under jet composition. The group $G_{n}^{1}$ is customarily denoted by $\mathrm{GL}(n)$.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ manifold and let $p \in M$. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{m}^{k}\left(M_{p}\right)=J^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}_{0}^{m}, \mathbf{M}_{p}\right) \\
& L_{m}^{k}{ }^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{p}\right)=J^{k}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{p}, \mathbb{R}_{0}^{m}\right),  \tag{B4}\\
& A_{m}^{k}\left(M_{p}\right)=J^{k}\left(M_{p}, \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The submanifolds of $L_{m}^{k}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{p}\right)$, the $m^{k}$ speeds, and $L_{m}^{k} *\left(M_{p}\right)$, the $m^{k}$ cospeeds, which consist of jets of maximal rank are the $m^{k}$ frames, $H_{m}^{k}\left(M_{p}\right)$, and the $m^{k}$ coframes, $H_{m}^{k}{ }^{*}\left(M_{p}\right)$. Normally, $m \leqslant n$, and for $m=n$, one writes $\operatorname{simply} H^{k}\left(M_{p}\right)$ and $H^{k *}\left(M_{p}\right)$ for the sets of $n^{k}$ frames and $n^{k}$ coframes, respectively.

The sets obtained by taking the union of the above sets for all $p \in M$ are denoted by $L_{m}^{k}(\boldsymbol{M}), A_{m}^{k}(\boldsymbol{M}), H_{m}^{k}(\boldsymbol{M})$, and so forth. For an open subset $\mathrm{U} \subset M$, the sets obtained by taking the union only for $p \in U$, the portions over $U$, are denoted by $L_{m}^{k}(U), A_{m}^{k}(U), H_{m}^{k}(U)$, and so forth. For a given coordi-
nate chart $(U, x)_{p}$ for $M$, the locally trivializing maps may be used to give the sets $L_{m}^{k}(M), A_{m}^{k}(M), H_{m}^{k}(M)$, and so forth their usual topological and differentiable structures; for example, for $L_{1}^{k}(M)$, the $\operatorname{map} \psi_{U}: L_{1}^{k}(U) \rightarrow L_{1, n}^{k}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{U}\left(j_{0}^{k} \gamma\right)=d_{p}^{k} x \emptyset_{0}^{k} \gamma \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{0}^{k} \gamma$ is given by (A13) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{p}^{k} x \circ j_{0}^{k} \gamma=\partial_{0}^{k} I_{n}\left(\gamma_{1} d_{0}^{k} I+\ldots+\frac{1}{k!} \gamma_{k}\left(d_{0}^{k} I\right)^{k}\right) . \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\pi_{L_{1}^{k}} \times \psi_{U}: L_{1}^{k}(U) \rightarrow U \times L_{1, n}^{k}$ is bijective and may be used together with all other such maps corresponding to the charts of an altas for $M$ to pull back the topological and differentiable structures of the spaces $U \times L_{1, n}^{k}$.

The principal bundle structure for $n^{k}$ frames is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{k}(\boldsymbol{M})=\left\langle H^{k}(\boldsymbol{M}), \pi_{H^{k}}, M, G_{n}^{k}\right\rangle, \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a similar notation for the principal bundle of $n^{k}$ coframes $\mathscr{H}^{k *}(M)$. There are many associated bundle structures that could be written down; for example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{m}^{k}(M)=\left\langle L_{m}^{k}(M), \pi_{L_{m}^{k}}, M, L_{m, n}^{k}, \mathscr{H}^{k}(M)\right\rangle \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a natural isomorphism between $\mathscr{P}_{1}^{1}(M)$ and the tangent bundle $\mathscr{T}(\boldsymbol{M})$ and between $\mathscr{H}^{1}(M)$ and the principal bundle of linear frames $\mathscr{L}(\boldsymbol{M})$.

## APPENDIX C: NOTATION FOR GROUPS

Let $a: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a diffeomorphism such that a $(0)=\mathbf{0}$. The group $G_{n}^{k}$ is the set of $k$ jets $j_{0}^{k} a$ together with the group product defined by $k$-jet composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0}^{k} c=j_{0}^{k} a \circ j_{0}^{k} b \tag{Cl}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case $k=3$, an element of $G_{n}^{3}$ may be written

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{0}^{3} a= & \partial_{0}^{3} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{j}+\frac{1}{2!} a_{j k}^{i} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{j} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{k}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3!} a_{j k r}^{i} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{j} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{k} d_{0}^{3} I_{n}^{\zeta}\right) \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

and the product ( C 1 ) is given explicitly by the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{j}^{i}=a_{r}^{i} b_{j}^{r} \\
& c_{i k}^{i}=a_{r s}^{i} b_{j}^{r} b_{k}^{s}+a_{r}^{i} b_{j k}^{r}, \\
& c_{j k r}^{i}=a_{r s t}^{i} b_{j}^{r} b_{k}^{s} b^{i}+a_{r s}^{i}\left(b_{j k}^{r} b^{s}\right. \\
&  \tag{C3}\\
& \left.\quad+b_{k r}^{r} b_{j}^{s}+b_{i j}^{r} b_{k}^{s}\right)+a_{r}^{i} b_{j k r}^{r} .
\end{align*}
$$

The subgroup of $G_{n}^{k}$ which consists of elements of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0}^{k} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{k} I_{n}^{j}\right) \tag{C4}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be called the affine group (of order $k$ ) and will be denoted by $\Gamma_{n}^{k}$. Let $\eta_{i j}$ be the flat metric tensor with signature $s=p-q$, where $n=p+q$. Then $O_{p, q}^{k}$ is the subgroup of $\Gamma_{n}^{k}$ consisiting of those elements (C4) of $\Gamma_{n}^{k}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i j} a_{k}^{i} a_{r}^{j}=\eta_{k r} \tag{C5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orthogonal subgroup $\mathscr{O}_{n}^{k}$ of $\Gamma_{n}^{k}$ is the group $\mathscr{O}_{p . q}^{k}$ for $p=n$ and $q=0$. The Lorentz subgroup is $O_{1, n-1}^{k}$. The Weyl subgroup $W_{p, q}^{k}$ of $\Gamma_{n}^{k}$ consists of those elements (C4) of $\Gamma_{n}^{k}$ which have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}^{i}=e^{b} b_{j}^{i} \tag{C6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_{j}^{i}$ satisfies (C5).
Let $\left(\xi^{a}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and consider the invertible linear transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\xi}^{\alpha}=A_{\beta}^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta} \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i}=\frac{\xi^{i}}{\xi^{n+1}}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots n \tag{C8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformations (C7) induce the projective transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i}=\frac{a^{i}+a_{j}^{i} x^{j}}{1-a_{k} x^{k}} \tag{C9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a^{i}=\frac{A_{n+1}^{i}}{A_{n+1}^{n+1}}, \quad a_{i}=-\frac{A_{i}^{n+1}}{A_{n+1}^{n+1}}, \quad a_{j}^{i}=\frac{A_{j}^{i}}{A_{n+1}^{n+1}} . \text { (C10) }
$$

The projective transformations which preserve the origin $\left(x^{i}=0\right)$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}^{i}=\frac{a_{j}^{i} x^{j}}{1-a_{k} x^{k}} \tag{C11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subgroup $P_{n}^{k}$ of $G_{n}^{k}$ consists of the group of $k$ jets of transformations of the form (C11). In particular, an element of $P_{n}^{2}$ has the form
$\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n}\left(a_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{j}^{i} a_{k}+a_{k}^{i} a_{j}\right) d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{k}\right)$.
In a slightly more complicated but similar manner, the conformal group in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ may be obtained by projecting invertible linear transformations from a higher dimensional space. This group consists of transformations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}^{i}=\frac{a_{j}^{i} x^{j}-\frac{1}{2} \eta^{p q} a_{p}^{i} a_{q} \eta_{j k} x^{j} x^{k}}{1-a_{l} x^{i}+\frac{1}{4} \eta^{5 s} a_{r} a_{s} \eta_{l \mathrm{~m}} x^{i} \mathrm{x}^{m}} \tag{C13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{j}^{i}$ are given by (C6). The subgroup $C_{p, q}^{k}$ of $G_{n}^{k}$ consists of $k$ jets of transformations of the form (C13). In particular, an element of $C_{p, q}^{2}$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{0}^{2} I_{n} & \left(a_{j}^{i} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(a_{j}^{i} a_{k}+a_{k}^{i} a_{j}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\eta^{p q} a_{p}^{i} a_{q} \eta_{j k}\right) d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{j} d_{0}^{2} I_{n}^{k}\right) \tag{C14}
\end{align*}
$$

The following isomorphisms obtain among the groups introduced above:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{n}^{1} \equiv P_{n}^{1} \equiv \Gamma_{n}^{1} \cong \Gamma_{n}^{k}, \quad C_{p, q}^{1} \equiv W_{p, q}^{1} \cong W_{p, q}^{k} \\
& \mathrm{O}_{p, q}^{1} \cong \mathrm{O}_{p, q}^{k}, \\
& C_{p, q}^{2} \cong C_{p, q}^{k}, \quad k \geqslant 2 \\
& P_{n}^{2} \cong P_{n}^{k}, \quad k \geqslant 2 \tag{C15}
\end{align*}
$$

In spite of these isomorphisms, it is useful to preserve the distinctions because they permit precise and ready reference to a $G$ structure of a given order in the theory of prolongations of $G$ structures.
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